arXiv:1701.07196v1 [math.CO] 25 Jan 2017

POLYNOMIAL EQUATIONS IN $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$

PIERRE-YVES BIENVENU

Abstract. The breakthrough paper of Croot, Lev, Pach [3] on progression-free sets in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ introduced a polynomial method that has generated a wealth of applications, such as Ellenberg and Gijswijt's solutions to the cap set problem [4]. Using this method, we bound the size of a set of polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree less than n that is free of solutions to the equation $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i f_i^r = 0$, where the coefficients a_i are polynomials that sum to 0 and the number of variables satisfies $k \geq 2r^2 + 1$. The bound we obtain is of the form q^{cn} for some constant $c < 1$. This is in contrast to the best bounds known for the corresponding problem in the integers, which offer only a logarithmic saving, but work already with as few as $k \geq r^2 + 1$ variables.

Let R be a ring and $a_1, \dots, a_k$ be elements of R which sum to 0, i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i = 0$. Then the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i f_i^r = 0 \tag{1}$$

possesses a wealth of trivial solutions $(f_1, \dots, f_k)$, namely constant tuples $(f, \dots, f)$, even though it is not a translation-invariant equation. This suggests that if a subset $A \subset R$ is free of non-trivial solutions, then it should be small. For the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}$, this question was studied first by Smith [9], Keil [7] and Henriot [6]; they replaced the single equation by a system comprising the initial equation and a linear equation in order to ensure invariance under translation and dilation. Recently, Browning and Prendiville [1] showed that if $r = 2$ and $k \geq 5$, and $A \subset [N]$ satisfies $|A| \gg N$ and N is large enough, then equation (1) necessarily admits non-trivial solutions $(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ in A^k. Their method relies on the transference principle. Further, Chow [2] proved that any relatively dense subset of the primes contains a solution to any equation of the form (1), as long as $k \geq r^2 + 1$.

Similarly, one may ask whether any dense subset A of the ring $R = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ is bound to contain a non-trivial solution to (1). In this note, we answer the question under a natural condition on the number

Date: January 26, 2017.

of variables, namely $k \geq 2r^2+1$. In the function field setting, the polynomial method of Croot, Lev and Pach [3] can be fruitfully applied and delivers much stronger bounds than any method known in the integers. This was already noticed by Green [5] in the case of Sarközy's theorem.

We now precisely state our main theorem. We fix a prime power q and write $P_{q,n}$ for the set of polynomials of degree strictly less than n over $\mathbb{F}_q$, so that $|P_{q,n}| = q^n$.

Theorem 1. *Let r, k and d be integers satisfying $k \geq 2r^2+1$. Suppose $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ are polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree at most d satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i = 0$. Then there exist constants $0 < c(r,q) < 1$ and $C = C(d,r,q)$ such that any $A \subset P_{q,n}$ satisfying $|A| \geq kCq^{c(r,q)n}$ must contain a non-trivial solution to the equation* (1).

The aforementioned paper of Chow [2] implies that $k \geq r^2+1$ is sufficient in the integers, but the bound on the size of A obtained by his analytic method is much weaker (we get a power saving, as opposed to his logarithmic saving).

We reduce the theorem to the following proposition, which is then tractable by the polynomial method of Croot-Lev-Pach.

Proposition 2. *For any $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, there exists a constant $c'(\epsilon, q) \in (0,1)$ such that the following holds. Let $\Phi : (\mathbb{F}_q^n)^k \to \mathbb{F}_q^m$ be a polynomial map of degree at most ℓ (i.e. each coordinate is a polynomial of degree at most ℓ) and $A \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$. Suppose that for any $(f_1, \dots, f_k) \in A^k$, the equality $\Phi(f_1, \dots, f_k) = 0$ holds if, and only if, $(f_1, \dots, f_k) = (f, \dots, f)$ for some $f \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. Finally, suppose that $m\ell/k \leq (1/2 - \epsilon)n$. Then $|A| \leq kq^{c'(\epsilon,q)n}$.*

We prove that Proposition 2 implies Theorem 1. Each polynomial $f = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_i t^i \in P_{q,n}$ can be seen as a vector $\overrightarrow{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. Now $f^r \in P_{q,(n-1)r+1}$ so we see it as the vector

$$\overrightarrow{f^r} = (f_0^r, r f_0^{r-1} f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}^r) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{(n-1)r+1}.$$

We notice that $\overrightarrow{f^r} = Q(\overrightarrow{f})$ where Q is a polynomial map of degree r. Similarly, if $a \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ of degree at most d, we see that $f \mapsto \overrightarrow{af} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n+d}$ is a polynomial map $\mathbb{F}_q^n \to \mathbb{F}_q^{n+d}$ (of degree 1). Thus,

$$\Phi : (f_1, \dots, f_k) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k a_i f_i^r$$

induces a polynomial map of degree r

$$\overrightarrow{\Phi} : (\mathbb{F}_q^n)^k \to \mathbb{F}_q^m$$

where $m = (n-1)r + d + 1$ and

$$\overrightarrow{\Phi}(\overrightarrow{f_1}, \dots, \overrightarrow{f_k}) = \overrightarrow{\Phi(f_1, \dots, f_k)}.$$

We observe that if $A \subset P_{q,n}$ does not contain any non-trivial solution to (1), the set $\overrightarrow{A} = \{\overrightarrow{f} \mid f \in A\} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ contains only trivial solutions $(\overrightarrow{f}, \dots, \overrightarrow{f})$ to the equation $\overrightarrow{\Phi}(\overrightarrow{f_1}, \dots, \overrightarrow{f_k}) = 0$.

Moreover, given that $k \geq 2r^2 + 1$, we have

$$\frac{mr}{kn} = \frac{(n-1)r^2 + dr + r}{kn} \leq \frac{r^2}{2r^2+1} + \frac{(d+1)r}{(2r^2+1)n}.$$

Hence if $n \geq 4(d+1)r$, we have $mr/k \leq (1/2 - \epsilon)n$, with

$$\epsilon = \epsilon(r) = \frac{1}{4(2r^2+1)} \in (0, 1/2).$$

We can then apply Proposition 2 and obtain $|A| \leq q^{c(r,q)n}$ for some constant $c(r,q) = c'(\epsilon(r), q) \in (0,1)$. Taking care separately of the small values of n, one can find a constant $C(d,r,q) \leq q^{4(d+1)r}$ such that the bound

$$|A| \leq kC(d,r,q)q^{c(\epsilon,q)n}$$

is valid for all n.

We now prove Proposition 2. We remark, in the spirit of Tao's blog post [10], that the fact that

$$\forall (f_1, \dots, f_k) \in A^k, \quad \Phi(f_1, \dots, f_k) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f_1 = \dots = f_k$$

implies that

$$\forall (f_1, \dots, f_k) \in A^k, \quad \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 - \Phi_i^{q-1}(f_1, \dots, f_k)) = \sum_{f \in A} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \delta_f(f_j) \quad (2)$$

where $\delta_f(f_j)$ is 0 if $f \neq f_j$ and 1 otherwise. We now recall the notion of slice-rank, as in Tao's blog post or the article of Kleinberg, Sawin and Speyer [8]. Take a subset $A \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ and a map $P : A^k \to \mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of functions $\mathbb{F}_q^n \to \mathbb{F}_q$. This set of functions is naturally in bijection with the set of polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ in which no indeterminate is raised to a power greater than $q-1$ (see for instance [5] for a proof of this bijection).

Definition. A *polynomial cover* for P is a tuple $(M_1, \dots, M_k) \in \mathcal{M}^k$ such that for each $j \in [k]$ and $p \in M_j$, there exists a function $F_{j,p}$ from A^{k-1} to $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that for any $(X_1, \dots, X_k) \in A^k$, we have

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_k) = \sum_{j \in [k]} \sum_{p \in M_j} p(X_j) F_{j,p}(X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_k). \quad (3)$$

The *slice rank* of P is the minimum size $\sum_{j\in[k]} |M_j|$ of a polynomial cover.

We find that the slice-rank of the right-hand side of (2) is $|A|$; indeed, this is [10, Lemma 1]. The left-hand side of (2) is a polynomial P in $k \times n$ variables denoted $f_{j,i}$ for $j \in [k]$ and $i \in [n]$, and its total degree is at most $(q-1)m\ell$. Now P is a sum of monomials of the form

$$p(f_1, \dots, f_k) = \prod_{j\in[k]} p_j(f_{j,1}, \dots, f_{j,n})$$

where each p_j is a monomial in n variables. For each monomial p, by the pigeonhole principle, there exists $j \in [k]$ such that $\deg p_j \leq (q-1)m\ell/k$.

Being interested in P as a function on $(\mathbb{F}_q^n)^k$, we reduce it modulo the ideal I generated by the polynomials $f_{j,i}^{q-1} - f_{j,i}$ for $i \in [n]$ and $j \in [k]$. We continue to use P for the only polynomial in the class P modulo I which has degree at most $q-1$ in each variable $f_{j,i}$. Further, we denote by $\mathcal{M}_{d,n}$ the set of monomials in n variables, of degree at most $q-1$ in each variable and at most d in total.

We infer from the data above that there exist sets of monomials $M_1, \dots, M_k \subset \mathcal{M}_{(q-1)m\ell/k,n}$ and functions $F_{j,p}$ for $(j,p) \in [k] \times M_j$ such that

$$P = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{p\in M_j} p(f_j) F_{j,p}(f_1, \dots, f_{j-1}, f_{j+1}, \dots, f_k).$$

Now $|\mathcal{M}_{d,n}|/q^n$ may be interpreted as the probability that the sum of n independent, uniform random variables on $\{0, \dots, q-1\}$ is at most d. To bound this probability, we use Hoeffding's concentration inequality, which implies that

$$\left|\mathcal{M}_{(q-1)m\ell/k,n}\right| \leq \left|\mathcal{M}_{(q-1)n(1/2-\epsilon),n}\right| \leq q^n e^{-\frac{n\epsilon^2}{2}} = q^{c(\epsilon,q)n}$$

where $c(\epsilon, q) = (1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2\log q}) \in (0,1)$. This implies that the slice-rank of P is at most $kq^{c(\epsilon,q)n}$ and concludes the proof of Proposition 2.

In fact, we observe that the scope of our theorem encompasses more general equations than the diagonal equation (1), because Proposition 2 does not require any information on Φ other than its degree.

References

[1] T. Browning and S. Prendiville. A transference approach to a Roth-type theorem in the squares. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2015.

[2] S. Chow. Roth–Waring–Goldbach. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2016.

[3] E. Croot, V.F. Lev, and P.P. Pach. Progression-free sets in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ are exponentially small. *Ann. Math.*, 185(1):331–337, 2017.

[4] J. Ellenberg and D Gijswijt. On large subsets of $\mathbb{F}_3^n$ with no three-term arithmetic progression. *Ann. Math.*, 185 (1):339–343, 2017.
[5] B. Green. Sarkozy's theorem in function fields. *ArXiv e-prints*, May 2016.
[6] Kevin Henriot. Logarithmic bounds for translation-invariant equations in squares. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (23):12540–12562, 2015.
[7] Eugen Keil. On a diagonal quadric in dense variables. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 56(3):601–628, 2014.
[8] R. Kleinberg, W. F. Sawin, and D. E. Speyer. The Growth Rate of Tri-Colored Sum-Free Sets. *ArXiv e-prints*, June 2016.
[9] Matthew L. Smith. On solution-free sets for simultaneous quadratic and linear equations. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 79(2):273–293, 2009.
[10] T. Tao. A symmetric formulation of the Croot-Lev-Pach-Ellenberg-Gijswijt capset bound (blog post), May 2016. `https://terrytao.wordpress.com/2016/05/18/`.